Five- and six-membered silicon–carbon heterocycles. Part 1. Synthetic methods for the construction of silacycles

Jolanda Hermanns and Bernd Schmidt*

Universität Dortmund, Fachbereich Chemie, Organische Chemie I, Otto-Hahn-Straße 6, D-44227 Dortmund, Germany

Covering up to December 1997

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Ring closure by cycloaddition of reactive intermediates to dienes
- 2.1 Silenes in [4 + 2] cycloaddition reactions
- 2.2 Silylenes in cycloaddition reactions
- 3 Ring enlargement reactions of small silicon–carbon heterocycles
- 3.1 Ring enlargement reactions starting from three-membered silaheterocycles
- 3.2 Ring enlargement reactions starting from four-membered silaheterocycles
- 4 Ring closure by C–C bond formation
- 4.1 Ring closure through cascade reactions of acyclic silanes
- 4.2 Ring closure reactions induced by carboboration or carboalumination
- 4.3 Other transition metal catalyzed C–C bond forming processes
- 4.4 Ring closure through concerted reactions
- 5 Ring closure by C–Si bond formation
- 6 Ring closure by silulation of α - ω -dimetallic compounds

7 References

1 Introduction

There has been a considerable interest in organosilicon chemistry over many years from several different points of view.1a Organic chemists discovered the synthetic potential of organo silicon compounds in various transformations (Sakuraireaction.1b Tamao–Kumada-oxidation,^{1c} Hivama-crosscoupling,^{1d} silicon-mediated aldol reactions^{1e}). Topics in organosilicon chemistry which are especially attractive for inorganic and organometallic chemists are pentacoordination of silicon,^{1f} low-valent silicon species (silylenes)^{1g} and species where silicon is involved in multiple bonding.^{2,3} Organosilanes have also been of interest for materials science as some of them seem to be promising precursors for electrically conducting polymers or materials with certain physical properties.^{1h} Finally, there has been interest in the preparation of silicon compounds with physiological and biological activity, such as drugs or compounds with olfactory properties.¹ⁱ

This review will cover the chemistry of five- and sixmembered silicon-carbon heterocycles with one silicon atom in the ring. We will focus on contributions published during the last ten years, although in a few cases, older literature has been considered. The chemistry of silicon–carbon heterocycles with an endocyclic silicon–oxygen bond has recently been reviewed ^{1j} and will therefore not be discussed herein. Some aspects of the chemistry of silaheterocycles, including systems with more than one ring silicon atom, have previously been reviewed.^{1k} A review on group 14 metalloles also covers the chemistry of silacyclopentadienes.^{1l}

The first part of this review will deal with methods for the preparation of silicon-carbon heterocycles. We will focus on

those reactions, which are useful for preparative purposes. This implies that some transformations, though mechanistically interesting, will only be briefly mentioned. The material is organized according to the different synthetic methods, not according to the structure of the products. Five different classes of syntheses may be distinguished: a) cycloaddition of reactive intermediates to dienes, b) ring enlargement reactions of threeand four-membered silacycles, c) ring closure of acyclic silanes by C–C bond formation, d) ring closure of acyclic silanes by Si–C bond formation and e) ring closure by simultaneous formation of two Si–C bonds.

Part 2 will deal with synthetic transformations and applications of silicon–carbon heterocycles.

2 Ring closure by cycloaddition of reactive intermediates to dienes

Silenes 1 and silylenes 2 both react with conjugated dienes to give silacyclohexenes 3 or silacyclopentenes 4 respectively (Scheme 1). These reactions have been exploited not only for the preparation of the heterocycles, but also for the indirect detection of these reactive intermediates.



In this section we will first discuss generation and cycloadditions of silenes and then the generation of silylenes and their reaction with dienes.

2.1 Silenes in [4 + 2] cycloaddition reactions^{2,3} a) Modified Peterson reaction

Several different methods for the generation of silenes have been reported. One approach utilizes a modified Peterson reaction, where a magnesium⁴ or lithium⁵ silanide is added to a ketone or an aldehyde followed by elimination of a magnesium or lithium silanolate. A trapping reaction with dimethylbutadiene leads to the silaheterocycles **5** and **6** respectively (**Scheme 2**).

When the carbonyl function of an aldehyde or ketone is sterically highly hindered, magnesium silanides are not reactive enough to add to the carbonyl carbon. In these cases the more reactive lithium silanides may give satisfactory results. For example, silaethene 9 could only be generated by addition of





Scheme 2

TMS₃SiLi 7 to the heavily shielded aldehyde $8.^6$ In this case intramolecular cyclization *via* insertion of a silene into a C–H bond occurs to give silacyclohexane **10** (Scheme 3).



Whereas in most cases the silene is only a reactive intermediate, Apeloig *et al.*⁷ describe a stable silene **12** starting from **7** and adamantanone **11**. Despite being stable at room temperature, **12** readily adds to methoxybutadiene to give the silaheterocycle **13** (Scheme 4).

b) Silenes by elimination of lithium halide

Elimination of a salt from α -lithiated silanes with a Si-halogen bond represents a different approach to silenes. In an early report from Jones⁸ a silene 16 is generated by addition of Bu'Li to a vinylchlorosilane 14 and elimination of LiCl from the intermediate 15. Trapping with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (DMB) leads to the two diastereomeric heterocycles 17a (resulting from the Z-silene) and 17b (resulting from the *E*-silene) and a side product 17c, resulting from an ene reaction (Scheme 5).

The addition of Bu'Li to vinylchlorosilanes has been used in the following years to prepare a variety of silaheterocycles. Especially work by Auner *et al.* gives insight into the scope and limitations of the method and allows for some general statements:



Scheme 5

—Silenes $Cl_2Si=CHBu'$ are more reactive in [4 + 2]-cycloaddition reactions than silylated alkenes $Cl_3SiC=CH_2$.⁹

—The [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction competes with an ene reaction. The Diels–Alder reaction is preferred for dienes with higher π -electron densities.^{10,11}

—In some cases, especially with cyclohexadiene, a [2 + 2] (leading to products of type **19**) rather than a [4 + 2] cycloaddition (leading to products of type **20**) becomes the main reaction path.¹² In general, silenes **18** with a low lying LUMO (alkyl substituents at silicon) react preferentially in a [4 + 2] cycloaddition, whereas silenes with a higher LUMO (Cl¹³ or OMe¹⁴ at silicon) react preferably in a [2 + 2] cycloaddition (Scheme 6).¹⁵



Very recently the addition of Bu'Li to divinyldichlorosilane has been used to generate a silaallene, an intermediate for a spirocyclic compound.¹⁶ A stable silene has also been prepared by this method.¹⁷

A selective halogen–metal exchange allows an alternative approach to α -metallated halosilanes.¹⁸ Starting from the dihalogenated compounds **21** halogen–metal exchange proceeds selectively to give the metallated compounds **22**, which undergo elimination of MX.^{19,20} Trapping with dienes yields silacyclohexenes **24** together with the ene products **25**. In some cases, **25** even becomes the major product (Scheme 7).²¹



X, Y = Hal; M = Li, Na; R^0 = Me, Ph, Bu^t; R^1 = Me, Ph; R^2 = H, SiMe₃, SiMe₂Ph; R^3 = SiMe₃, SiMe₂Ph, Si Bu₃^t

Scheme 7

c) Silicon analogs of enolates

Ohshita *et al.* described the synthesis of silenolates, silicon analogs of enolates, by desilylation of acylsilanes **26** with TMS₃SiLi (7). Subsequent reaction with Et₃SiCl gives the intermediate **27** which undergoes a Diels–Alder reaction with DMB (Scheme 8).^{22,23}



A thermally or photochemically induced isomerization of acylsilanes represents an alternative pathway to silylenol ethers of type 27.²⁴ For example, reaction of 27 with diene 29 leads to the formation of silacyclohexenes 30 as a 9:1 mixture of diastereomers in good yield (Scheme 9).

The [4 + 2] cycloaddition of silenolates doesn't necessarily require the formation of a silylenol ether of type 27, even lithium enolates undergo Diels-Alder reactions. In the example outlined in Scheme 10 a lithium silenolate 31 was generated by the Ohshita method from acylsilane 26 (R = Bu'). The product of the cycloaddition, lithium alcoholate 32, undergoes a Peterson-type elimination to give intermediate 33, which



Scheme 10

reacts with a second equivalent of DMB to give the bicyclic compound $34.^{25}$

Interestingly, no side-products resulting from ene reactions or [2 + 2] cycloadditions are found in the reaction of silenes of type **27** or **31** with dienes.

d) Silenes by cycloreversions

Cycloreversion of silacyclobutane **35** gives ethene and silene **36**, which can be trapped with isoprene to produce heterocycle **37**. However, vinylsilene **36** undergoes elimination of allene, resulting in the formation of a silylene, which was trapped as a silacyclopentene **38** (Scheme 11).²⁶

The cycloreversion of a symmetrically substituted disilaheterocycle to yield two equivalents of a silene has also been reported.²⁷

Silabutadienes **40** have been postulated as reactive intermediates in gas phase flow pyrolyses of silacyclobutenes **39**. The reactive intermediates can be trapped by alkenes leading to silacyclohexenes.²⁸ The reaction is stereospecific, as from (*E*)-butene predominantly *trans*-substituted silacyclohexenes **41** and **42** are formed, whereas from (*Z*)-butene under the same conditions mainly *cis*-silacyclohexenes **41** and **42** are formed (Scheme **12**). If the pyrolysis of silacyclobutene is carried out in the absence of a dienophile, a mixture of formal dimers of silabutadienes results.²⁹



2.2 Silylenes in cycloaddition reactions

Silylenes have been postulated as short-lived reactive intermediates in a number of processes. An often used method for the indirect detection of silylenes is the trapping reaction with butadiene or dimethylbutadiene (for recent examples, see: refs. 30-38). Investigations into the mechanism³⁹ suggest that in a first step a [2 + 1] addition occurs, leading to a vinylsilacyclopropane **43**, which rearranges to the silacyclopentene **4**. The isolation of stable vinylsilacyclopropanes by Zhang and Conlin is additional proof for this mechanism.⁴⁰ The formation of divinylsilacyclopentanes **44** can also be explained when a vinylsilacyclopropane **43** is assumed as an intermediate (**Scheme 13**).⁴¹



The addition of silylenes to dienes is reversible, this was demonstrated for 1,1-dichlorosilacyclopentene by co-pyrolysis with other dienes.^{42,43} Using the trapping reaction with buta-

diene, dichlorosilylene and methylchlorosilylene were detected as surface intermediates in the direct synthesis of methylchlorosilanes (Müller–Rochow process).^{44,45} Some reactions involving silylenes can be used for the preparation of silaheterocycles. However, yields are sometimes poor. In this chapter we will only discuss those contributions where silaheterocycles are formed in preparatively useful quantities. This section will be subdivided according to the different methods for the generation of silylenes. It will include heterocycle formation *via* transition metal stabilized silylene is postulated as an intermediate.

a) Dehalogenation of dihalosilanes in the presence of dienes

The reductive dehalogenation of dichlorosilanes in the presence of dienes leads to the formation of silacyclopentenes. Typically, harsh conditions are employed (Na/K vapour at 300 °C and low pressure) and yields are low (around 30%). This method has been used for the preparation of spirocycles **45** as outlined in **Scheme 14**.^{46,47}



Recently, dichlorosilanes have been reduced in the presence of dienes in ether–THF solution under sonication to give silaheterocycles in comparable yield.⁴⁸ Whilst for these reactions a mechanism involving the intermediate formation of silylenes is generally accepted, a different mechanism has been proposed for the electrochemical reduction of dichlorosilanes in the presence of DMB on the basis of cyclovoltammetry.⁴⁹ Here silacyclopentenes are isolated in up to 28% yield along with polymers.

Corriu *et al.* report a remarkable improvement of the yield when the intermediate silylenes are stabilized by intramolecular coordination (Scheme 15). This can either be achieved *via* a donor substituted side chain $(46)^{50}$ or by introduction of a donor atom into a silicon containing macrocycle (47).⁵¹ Although stabilization of the low valent silicon species is not sufficient to allow the isolation of monomeric silylenes, the formation of undesired by-products is suppressed.

b) Decomposition of di- or oligo-silanes in the presence of dienes Gaspar *et al.* studied the reaction of disilane **48** and various butadienes.⁵² **48** serves as a source of dimethylsilylene (**2a**) which reacts with butadienes **49** to give 1,1-dimethylsilylcyclopentenes **50**. However, satisfactory results are only obtained for dienes without substituents at the 1- and 4-positions (65–70%). Pentadienes and hexadienes give the desired heterocycles only in poor to moderate yield (5–35%) (Scheme 16).

Formation of 1-heterosubstituted silaheterocycles *via* silylene insertion into dienes is also possible. Chernyshev *et al.* reported the formation of a bicycle 53 on a multi-gram scale by copyrolysis of *exo*-methylene butane 51 and hexachlorodisilane. An intermediate product 52 has also been isolated (Scheme 17).⁵³



More recently, Heinicke *et al.* used the co-pyrolysis of $Si_2Me_2X_4$ (54) [54a (X = Cl): obtained as a by-product from the direct synthesis of chlorosilanes; 54b,c: X = OMe, NMe₂: obtained from 54a] and dienes to prepare silacyclopentenes

with two different substituents at silicon on a multigram scale (Scheme 18).⁵⁴ In most cases, mixtures of the 2- (56) and 3-isomer (55) were isolated. 56 seems to be a secondary product of the initially formed silacyclopent-3-ene 55. The amount of the 2-isomers (56) is up to 12% and depends on the temperature required for pyrolysis. In general, substituents with lower donor ability (OMe < Me₂N < Cl) make lower reaction temperatures possible which suppresses isomerization to the 2-isomer. With 2,3-DMB, instead of butadiene, the silacyclopent-2-ene was not detected in any case.



The formation of a dimethoxysilacyclopentene from dimethoxysilylene [obtained from Si₂(OMe)₆ by pyrolysis] and DMB is possible.⁵⁵ However, the synthetic utility of this particular silylene seems to be limited. Recent reports by Kwak demonstrate that syntheses using mixed alkyl/alkoxy silylenes may suffer from undesired side reactions leading to other silylene species.⁵⁶ For example, co-pyrolysis of compound **57** in the presence of DMB yields three different silacyclopentenes **58–60**, indicating elimination of ethylene or formaldehyde from the initially formed silylene **2b** (Scheme 19).⁵⁷



In the last few years methods for remarkably facile generation of silylenes and insertion into dienes have been published. Intramolecular stabilization of a transient silylene **61** by a dimethylamino group allows the synthesis of silacyclopentene **62** in excellent yield in refluxing toluene (**Scheme 20**).⁵⁸

Formation of silaheterocycles under even milder conditions occurs when cyclic trisilanes **63** are heated ⁵⁹ or irradiated ⁶⁰ in the presence of alkenes. Irradiation of **63b** in the presence of cyclopentadiene leads to **64**, which upon heating isomerizes to the six-membered heterocycles **65**. Reaction of **63a** with cyclohexadiene gives the bicyclic system **66** (Scheme 21).⁶¹

Stable disilenes, which have been obtained from reductive dehalogenation of sterically extremely hindered dibromosilanes, dissociate upon heating to 60 °C and can be trapped with dienes to give silacyclopentenes in fair yield.⁶²⁻⁶⁵



c) Silaheterocycles from transition metal stabilized silylenes

Transition metal mediated reactions of silanes with unsaturated hydrocarbons belong to the most important methods for the preparation of organosilicon compounds. This chapter summarizes transformations where silylenes bound to metal complexes are involved. Complex **68**, prepared from diphenylsilane **(67)**, Fe(CO)₅ and dimethylimidazolidinone (DMI) reacts with an equimolar amount of DMB or two equivalents of hex-3-yne to yield silacyclopentene **69** or silole **70** respectively (**Scheme 22**).⁶⁶

Tamao *et al.* investigated the potential of a catalytic version of the latter transformation.⁶⁷ Diphenylacetylene and disilane **71** react in the presence of a Ni⁰ catalyst (formed *in situ* from a Ni^{II} complex) to the intramolecularly stabilized silole **72** (Scheme 23). Without intramolecular stabilization only hydrosilation of the acetylene occurs.

Scheme 24 presents a mechanistic proposal.⁶⁷ Key steps are an oxidative addition of the silane to the Ni⁰ species (A), reductive elimination of a silane to form a nickel-silylene complex (B), subsequent insertion of the two acetylene ligands to form intermediates C and D respectively and finally reductive elimination of the silole and regeneration of the Ni⁰ species.

Yields are better for intramolecular cyclizations. Under the conditions given in Scheme 23 for the cyclization of tolane, diynes 73 react to siloles 74 in good yields without any intramolecular stabilization of the low valent silicon species (Scheme 25).^{68,69}

Pd catalyzed reactions should also be summarized in this context as similar mechanistic proposals have been made. The Pd⁰ catalyzed reaction of a linear trisilane **75** with phenylacetylene mainly leads to a disilacyclohexadiene **76**, whereas the silole



Scheme 22



Scheme 23





77 is only formed as a minor product.⁷⁰ However, siloles become the major products and can be isolated in yields up to 94% when silylstannanes **78** are used as sources for silylene (**Scheme 26**).⁷¹



Scheme 26

The results presented so far suggest that the formation of the metal bound silylene (**B** in Scheme 24) is a crucial step in the catalytic cycle. In those cases, where the formation of **B** is facilitated, heterocycle formation occurs readily. Therefore the formation of silole 80 from diyne 79 under Rh catalysis is surprising, as it obviously includes activation of a C–Si bond under loss of methane (Scheme 27).⁷²



Scheme 27

It should be mentioned that intermediates closely related to those in the catalytic cycle have also been discussed for Ni catalyzed transformations of alkynyltrisilanes.^{73,74} Though mechanistically interesting, these processes are only of limited synthetic use and will not be discussed in detail.

3 Ring enlargement reactions of small silaheterocycles

3.1 Ring enlargement starting from three-membered silaheterocycles

It has been known for several years that unsaturated compounds tend to undergo insertion reactions into strained carbon-silicon bonds. In an early report on this field, Seyferth et al. investigated the thermally or photochemically induced insertion of alkynes and alkenes into silacyclopropenes.⁷⁵ Under the conditions employed, normally two types of products are observed: a ring enlargement product and an acyclic product, the latter one normally being preferred. For example, silirene 81 reacts with styrene to the silacyclopentene 82 and acyclic compound 83. The reaction with phenylacetylene yields silole 84 and the acyclic silane 85 as the major product. From these observations it was concluded, that the reaction proceeds via a radical mechanism with the diradical 86 being an intermediate for both types of products. Thus, cyclic products result from a radical coupling whereas acyclic products are formed via hydrogen atom transfer (Scheme 28).

More recently, Kunai *et al.* found that in the absence of an alkyne or alkene thermolysis of a silacyclopropene **87** leads to a variety of intramolecular cyclization products, depending on the conditions employed and on the substitution pattern. Thus, formation of the products depicted in **Scheme 29** illustrates that C–H bond activation of phenyl groups or silicon bound methyl



groups may occur. In this particular case addition of an acetylene causes addition of the Si–Si bond across the triple bond rather than ring enlargement.⁷⁶

When Seyferth *et al.* repeated their experiments illustrated in Scheme 28 in the presence of a Pd catalyst, they found completely different products.⁷⁷ From silirene **81** and phenylacetylene the diphenylsilole **88a** was formed in good yield, together with approximately the same amount of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (**90**). *tert*-Butylacetylene reacted with silirene **81** under the same conditions to give a mixture of two siloles **88c** and **89c**. Reactions with allene or conjugated dienes gives mixtures of different silacyclopentenes **91** and **92** (Scheme **30**).

Obviously, a mechanism similar to the one discussed in Scheme 23 for the formation of siloles under nickel catalysis is working here. The initiating step is the reduction of the Pd^{II} complex to a coordinatively unsaturated Pd^0 species which undergoes insertion into the strained Si–C bond of the silirene, leading to the formation of a palladasilacyclobutene analog of intermediate C in Scheme 23.⁷⁷

A similar mechanism has been proposed by Ishikawa for tungsten catalyzed transformations of a silirene 93a,b.⁷⁸ Thus, in the presence of dimethylbutadiene as a silylene trapping agent silacyclopentenes **94a**,**b** were found together with a comparable amount of an acetylene. In the absence of a diene trapping agent, C–H bond activation of a silicon bound methyl group occurs. The formation of **95** can also be explained if a tungsten–silylene complex is assumed as an intermediate in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 31).



Reports on the selective formation of unsymmetrically substituted siloles are rare. One example was published by Belzner *et al.*⁷⁹ and two further examples were published recently by Woerpel *et al.* (Scheme 32).⁸⁰



Ring enlargement reactions of silacyclopropanes have also been investigated. When acetylenes are employed under Pd catalysis, formal silylene extrusion leading to the formation of siloles as described above also occurs under certain conditions. However, the product distribution significantly depends on the structure of the silacyclopropane. For example, Woerpel *et al.* investigated the product distribution of the reaction of *cis-* and *trans-*silacyclopropanes **96** with a variety of terminal acetylenes under Pd-catalysis.⁸¹ The symmetrically substituted siloles **97** become the sole products when *trans-***96** is used as a starting material, whereas from the *cis-*isomer a mixture of siloles **97** and **98** results. The extrusion of silylene from *cis*- or *trans*silacyclopropane **96** occurs stereospecifically, leading to Z- or *E*-but-2-ene respectively. The formal acetylene insertion into the Si–C bond also occurs stereospecifically, since *cis*-**98** exclusively results from *cis*-**96** (Scheme 33).



For ring enlargement reactions with unsymmetrical silacyclopropanes not only side-products from formal silylene extrusion may occur, but regioselectivity of the insertion is also a problem. This question was addressed by Ando *et al.* who investigated the reactivity of *exo*-methylenesiliranes **99** in Pd catalyzed ring enlargement reactions.^{82,83} As the Si–C bonds are no longer equivalent, two regioisomeric products **100** and **101** can be formed. If acetylenedicarboxylate is employed, the regioselectivity can be determined by the choice of the catalyst as outlined in **Scheme 34**. Regioselectivity is completely reversed for acetylene, which reacts by insertion into the more reactive Si–C2 bond.



If the *E*-isomer of silacyclopropane **99** is reacted with acetylenedicarboxylate under identical conditions, silole formation (**102**), which was not observed for (*Z*)-**99**, occurs. If (*E*)-**99** is reacted with methyl acrylate, an insertion product, **103**, is isolated as a single regioisomer in good yield (**Scheme 35**).⁸²

It seems to be difficult to make reliable predictions on the outcome of a ring enlargement reaction starting from threemembered silacycles, which is a serious drawback for the use of these reactions in synthesis. However, some transformations occur with high regio-, stereo- and chemo-selectivity that makes them interesting for special applications.



3.2 Ring enlargement reactions starting from four-membered silacycles

The insertion of carbenes into single bonds appears to be an interesting process both from the mechanistic and from the synthetic point of view. However, synthetic use of carbene insertion for the formation of silacycles has been limited. Such a process can in principle occur by intramolecular insertion of a carbene into a C–H bond or a Si–C bond or by intermolecular insertion into a C–H bond is strongly preferred.^{84,85} However, ring enlargement products resulting from an intermolecular ⁸⁶ and from an intramolecular ^{87,88} insertion of a carbene into a strained Si–C bond of a silacyclobutane have been identified along with other reaction products. At present no synthetically useful carbene insertion reactions for the preparation of silaheterocycles seem to be available.

Consequently, other attempts were made to activate the Si–C bond in silacyclobutanes. The use of Pd catalysis seems to be obvious. In an early report on this field, Sakurai *et al.* described the clean formation of silacyclohexenes **105** from silacyclobutane **104** by Pd catalyzed insertion of acetylene dicarboxylate in 65% to 95% yield.⁸⁹ When this transformation was reinvestigated by Oshima *et al.* several years later in more detail, the formation of a considerable amount of a by-product **106** was discovered, which results from β -hydride elimination during the catalytic cycle. Under certain conditions, especially if phenylacetylene is employed, allylvinylsilane **106** may even become the preferred product (**Scheme 36**).⁹⁰



Inspired by reports on the insertion of carbenes into the Si–C bond of silacyclobutanes, the behaviour of lithium carbenoids towards strained Si–C bonds was investigated.⁹¹ Thus, addition of LDA to a mixture of silacyclobutane **104a** (R = Me; $R^1 = H$) and diiodomethane provided silacyclopentane **106a** (R = Me, $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = I$) in 83% yield on a 3 gram scale. The reaction is thought to proceed *via* a nucleophilic addition of lithiated diiodomethane to give a pentacoordinate intermediate **105** which then undergoes ring enlargement and substitution of one iodide.⁹² Stereoselectivity of the carbene insertion was investigated starting from methyl substituted silacyclobutanes **104**

($R^1 = Me$). Thus, symmetrical silacyclobutanes **104** were converted under the conditions described for the unsubstituted compound to mixtures of two diastereomeric silacyclopentanes *cis*-**106** and *trans*-**106**. In most cases *cis/trans* ratios of 9:1 were found, except for $R = R^2 = Ph$, where the *trans*-isomer was slightly preferred (Scheme 37).



- For R^1 = H: R = Me, Bu, Ph, OPr; X = Cl, Br, I; R² = Cl, Br, I, Ph, SiMe₃. Yield: 46–88%
- For R^1 = Me: R = Me, Bu; Y = Br, I; R^2 = Br, I Ph. Yield: 40–97%. *cis* : *trans* = 33 : 67 (R = R² = Ph) all other examples: *cis* : *trans* = 89 : 11 up to 93 : 7.



Scheme 37

When unsymmetrically substituted silacyclobutanes **107** were employed in the reaction, two regioisomers **108** and **109** became available. In most cases the 2,5-isomer **109** is preferred over the 2,3-isomer **108**, however, regioselectivity is poor in most cases. The 2,3-disubstituted silacyclopentane is exclusively obtained as the *cis*-diastereomer, whereas the 2,5-isomer is formed less stereoselectively in *cis/trans* ratios of 2:1 up to 9:1.⁹¹

Silacyclopentanes **111** with different substituents at the silicon atom can also be prepared by this method, as was demonstrated for a variety of silacyclopentanes with one allyloxy substituent and one phenyl at the silicon center and a halogen atom in the 2-position.⁹³ The reaction proceeds stereoselectively, however, no assignment of the relative configuration has been made for the silacycles (Scheme 37).⁹⁴

A similar ring enlargement reaction was observed, when a mixture of epoxides **112** and 1,1-dimethylsilacyclobutane (**104a**) was treated with LDA. Here again a pentacoordinate intermediate **113** is assumed which undergoes ring enlargement under nucleophilic attack of the epoxide. Acyclic silanole **115**, obviously resulting from an intramolecular Peterson elimination, is isolated from the reaction in 15% yield. Silacyclopentanes **114** can be converted to the acyclic silanol by elimination with KH. If $R^1 = SiPh_3$, only the acyclic product **115** is isolable (Scheme 38).⁹⁵

Based on the assumption that pentacoordinated species 105 and 113 are intermediates for this type of ring enlargement, Oshima *et al.* developed a different strategy for the synthesis



of silacyclopentanes from silacyclobutanes. Starting from 1vinylsilacyclobutane **116**, radical addition of an alkyl iodide yields the iodo compound **117**, which undergoes an intramolecular substitution of iodide, induced by nucleophilic attack at the silicon. A pentacoordinate species similar to **105** is again proposed as an intermediate. Treatment with KOBu' or AgOAc gives silacyclopentanes **118** in good yields but poor diastereoselectivities (**Scheme 39**).⁹⁶



1-Vinylsilacyclobutanes have also been used as starting materials in an analogous ring enlargement reaction by intramolecular epoxide opening.⁹⁷ Scheme 40 shows a representative example: Treatment of (*E*)-vinylsilane 119 with MCPBA provides the *trans*-epoxide 120, which undergoes ring enlargement to silacyclopentanes 121 induced by methyllithium or lithium isopropoxide with high stereoselectivity.⁹⁸ Starting from (*Z*)-vinylsilane (*Z*)-119, the other diastereoisomer is accessible.



4 Ring closure by C–C bond formation

This chapter deals with ring closure reactions where a carboncarbon bond is formed. The newly formed bond may either be a single or a double bond. Starting materials are usually tetraorganosilanes where at least two substituents at silicon display appropriate functionality, very often carbon-carbon multiple bonds, which allow cyclization reactions.

4.1 Ring closure through cascade reactions of acyclic silanes

Over the past few years some examples for the formation of functionalized silicon carbon heterocycles *via* cascade reactions have been published. In this section we will focus on those where the first step of the cascade is the formation of a carbon–carbon bond.

a) Zirconium mediated carbocyclization

The cyclization of non-conjugated α , ω -dienes in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of Cp₂ZrCl₂ and two equivalents of

BuLi was first investigated nearly ten years ago by Nugent.⁹⁹ The reaction proceeds *via* the intermediate formation of Cp_2ZrBu_2 which serves as a source for coordinatively unsaturated (and hence unstable) Cp_2Zr . In the first step a bicyclic zirconacycle is formed which is prone to subsequent reaction with electrophiles, leading to substituted cycloalkanes (Scheme 41).



Scheme 41

If the α, ω -diene contains a SiR₂ group instead of a methylene group, silicon-carbon heterocycles result. The first example for the preparation of a silacyclopentane using this method was described in the original publication by Nugent. Dimethyldiallylsilane (122a) reacts to give the zirconacycle as described above. Subsequent treatment of the reaction mixture with bromine gives the silacyclopentane 123 (Scheme 42).99,100 The selective introduction of one halogen into the side chain was achieved by Takahashi et al. with their cleavage procedure using MeOH– I_2 leading to 124.^{101,102} If the second step of the cascade is an acid catalyzed hydrolysis, 3,4-dimethylsilacyclopentane 128 is the product.¹⁰⁰ Starting from tetraallylsilane (122c), spirocycles 127 become accessible via this sequence as a mixture of diastereomers.¹⁰³ From diallylsilole 122d, spirocycle 131 is accessible by essentially the same methodology, however, a different cyclization mode leading to the tricyclic system 132 is observed when a threefold excess of 'Cp2Zr' is employed.¹⁰³ The cleavage of the Zr-C bonds using P-electrophiles was investigated by Manuel et al. during their studies directed towards new phosphorous containing ligands. Thus, 129 and 130 have been prepared by reaction of the intermediate zirconacycle with two equivalents of a chlorophosphine or one equivalent of a dichlorophosphine.¹⁰⁰ The formation of 130 is an example where the bicyclic structure of the intermediate is maintained in the final product. Another example where this is the case is the formation of bicyclic cyclopentanone 126, which results from cleavage of the zirconacycle under 1 atm of CO.¹⁰³ Whitby's elegant synthesis of a bicyclic silacyclopentane 125 contains a cascade of three reactions. The first step is the formation of the zirconacycle, followed by insertion of PhNC into one Zr-C bond, rearrangement of the six-membered intermediate and finally insertion of an alkyne. Hydrolysis completes the synthesis.104

A striking feature of these transformations is the high *trans*selectivity which is observed for all examples. Diastereomeric ratios of up to 96:4 are described. Scheme 42 summarizes the transformations discussed above.

Two reports dealing with the closely related cyclization of propargylsilanes have appeared recently. Products are *exo*dimethylenesilacyclopentanes.¹⁰⁰ With a view towards the synthesis of electronic devices on a molecular scale the Zrmediated cyclization of tetrapropargylsilanes **133** has been exploited by Tour *et al.* for the preparation of silaheterocycles **134** with the silicon as the spirocyclic centre (**Scheme 43**).¹⁰⁵ Hydrolysis or treatment with S₂Cl₂ (leading to thiophenes **134b**) are two different possibilities to terminate the sequence.

b) Cascade reactions with ionic or radical intermediates

Quite recently an example for a Lewis-acid catalyzed cascade reaction leading to six-membered silicon–carbon heterocycles was published.¹⁰⁶ In this reaction, a diallylsilane **135** cyclizes in the presence of AlCl₃ and Me₃SiCl. The intermediate carbenium ion **137** is quenched by an allyltrimethylsilane **136** in a Sakurai-type reaction yielding a 3,5-disubstituted silahetero-











cycle **138** (Scheme 44). Depending on the substitution pattern, yields vary between 45% and 76% and diastereomeric ratios up to 92:8 have been obtained.

A related AlCl₃-mediated cyclization of vinylbenzylsilanes 139 leads, after subsequent methanolysis, to silatetralins 140 (Scheme 45).¹⁰⁷

Sieburth *et al.* described an asymmetric cascade reaction *via* anionic intermediates. The chirally modified silane **141** was deprotonated with LDA followed by alkylation and subsequent Dieckmann condensation. Heterocycle **142** was isolated as a single (*cis*)-isomer. If a different base is used, the alkylation step does not occur, leading to **143** as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers (Scheme **46**).¹⁰⁸

The Tamao synthesis of siloles by reductive cyclization of dialkynylsilanes 144 represents the first example for an *endo-endo*-mode cyclization.¹⁰⁹ The ring closure reaction is a radical coupling and the second step an anionic reaction.¹¹⁰ For example, diphenylethynylsilanes 144a–e react in the presence of an excess amount of lithium naphthalenide as a one electron reducing agent to a dianion–diradical intermediate 145a–e, which cyclizes through C3–C4 radical coupling. The dilithiated intermediate 146a–e can be treated with a variety of electrophiles to yield 2,5-difunctionalized siloles 147 to 150.¹¹⁰ 152a and 153a are examples of 2,5-diarylsubstituted siloles which are accessible *via* transmetallation with ZnCl₂ followed by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of silole 151 with aryl bromides.¹¹¹



Diethynylsilanes with amino substituents at the silicon can also be employed in this reaction, which was demonstrated by the formation of **147e**.¹¹² The latter transformation is particularly interesting, as it gives access to siloles prone to substitution reaction at the silicon atom. The enormous scope of the Tamao synthesis is illustrated in **Scheme 47**.



While in the Tamao-synthesis the C–C bond forming step is a radical coupling leading to a dianionic intermediate, addition of a radical species to diallylsilanes induces a ring closure with intermediate formation of a cyclic radical.¹¹³ Recently, it was demonstrated that a radical cyclization of **122a** in the presence of acetone or 3-methylbutanone and MnO_2 as an oxidizing agent leads to the formation of silacyclohexanes **154** with a butan-2-one side chain in the 3-position (**Scheme 48**).¹¹⁴

4.2 Ring closure reactions induced by carboboration or carboalumination

a) Ring closure reactions induced by carboboration

Diethinylsilanes have previously been used as starting materials for siloles.¹¹⁵ Thus, 2,5-distannylated silole **156a** is accessible from diethynylsilane **155a** by an alkylboration procedure. For



this reaction a mechanism has been postulated ¹¹⁶ which involves *gem*-addition of a trialkylborane (giving intermediate **157**), cyclization to a six-membered intermediate **158** followed by ring contraction to the silole **156a** (Scheme 49).



The scope of this reaction has been broadened by using silanes **155b–f** with different alkynyl groups. In the examples given in **Scheme 50** the different reactivity of the alkinyl groups towards BEt₃ was exploited for the selective formation of siloles with different substituents in the 2- and 5-position: due to the ability of the tin moiety to stabilize positive charge in the β -position, the initial ethylboration occurs much faster at this alkynyl group leading to siloles **156b–f**. Hydrolysis selectively removes the boron, whereas protonolysis with acetylacetone removes the boron and tin substituent.¹¹⁷ Acetic acid or aminoethanol are other reagents for removal of the boron.¹¹⁸



Starting from tetralkynylsilane **155g** the spirocycle **161** was obtained after deborylation.¹¹⁹ For the sterically more demanding derivative **155h** only one cyclization occurs and two alkynyl groups are left unchanged (**Scheme 51**).¹²⁰

In this context an example where hydroboration plays a key role for the cyclization reaction should be mentioned. Mignani *et al.* use a cyclic hydroboration of divinylsilane **162a** with BH_3 -DMS followed by the dichloromethyl methyl ether (DCME) cleavage of the isolable boron heterocycle **163** to prepare a cyclic ketone **164** (Scheme **52**).¹²¹



b) Carboalumination reactions

Zr- or Ti-catalyzed carboalumination reactions have been investigated in more detail because of their importance for Ziegler-Natta-type polymerization reactions. Over the past few years these processes have also been used for the stereoselective preparation of organic molecules with low molecular weight. For example, functionalized five- and six-membered rings are accessible by this method starting from α, ω -dienes. The first report in this field by Negishi et al. describes the cyclization of dimethyldiallylsilane (122a) in the presence of a catalytic amount of Ti(OPrⁱ)₄ and 0.6 equivalents of Et₂AlCl.¹²² The sequence is terminated by reaction with molecular oxygen to yield the heterocycle 165 and thus displays the features of a cascade process (Scheme 53). While for this example the cis-isomer is the major one, Waymouth et al. describe the exclusive formation of the analogous methyl substituted cissilaheterocycle *rac*-166 using $Cp_2ZrMe_2-B(C_6F_5)_3$ as a catalyst and AlMe₃ as carboalumination reagent.¹²³ Negishi *et al.* reported the synthesis of (S,S)-165¹²⁴ and (S,S)-166¹²⁵ in 96% ee and 74% ee respectively using an enantiomerically pure Zr catalyst 167. The good enantioselectivity reported for (S,S)-165 compared to (S,S)-166 is probably not caused by the different substituent, but seems to be due to a solvent effect. Obviously, more polar solvents are beneficial for the enantioselectivity (Scheme 53).124



4.3 Other transition metal catalyzed C–C bond forming processes

Ring closing metathesis, dehydrogenative cyclization at hetero-

geneous catalysts and Pd-mediated coupling reactions are other C–C bond forming reactions which have been used for the preparation of silaheterocycles.

Though ring closing metathesis has become a very important synthetic tool over the past few years, only few examples for the formation of silicon-carbon heterocycles using this method have been published up to now (for the formation and use of cyclic siloxanes obtained by ring closing metathesis see ref. 126). An early report by Finkel'shtein et al. describes the use of a heterogeneous catalyst system based on Re₂O₇-Al₂O₃ and SnBu₄ for the metathesis of allylsilanes 122a and 168.¹²⁷ Whereas for this catalyst system only poor yields are observed for the formation of five-membered rings 169, six-membered rings 170 are obtained in much better yields. Intermolecular metathesis leading to polymers is usually the preferred reaction path.¹²⁸ Tungsten catalysts seem to be suited for the ring closing metathesis of 122a. Thus, the simple inorganic compound WOCl₄ catalyzes the cyclization of 122a.¹²⁹ A more advanced tungsten carbene complex was employed as a catalyst by Basset et al. for the cyclization of 122a and 122b. In the case of 122a good yields are obtained with 2 mol% of catalyst. However, for the sterically more demanding system 122b the yield of 169b is only 8% (Scheme 54).¹³⁰ Interaction of Schrock's MoF₆ catalyst and diallylsilane 122a leads to the formation of silicon containing polymers, a ten-membered ring and 169a in an equilibrium mixture.131



Dehydrogenative cyclizations with heterogeneous catalysts at high temperatures have been used for the preparation of silaazaanthracenes^{132,133} and silathiophenes.^{134,135} These methods suffer from harsh reaction conditions and sometimes low isolated yields. No detailed information concerning the catalysts employed in these reactions is given in the literature. More recently Pd-catalyzed reactions were used to achieve C–C bond formation. For example, Pd catalyzed coupling reactions of dibromides **171** or **173** lead to the silaheterocycles **172** and **174** respectively.¹³⁶ Pd catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of an a,ω -diboronated compound, generated *in situ* by hydroboration of divinylsilane **162b**, and a *gem*-dibromide gives the sixmembered heterocycle **175** (Scheme **55**).¹³⁷

4.4 Ring closure through concerted reactions

Few reactions have been reported where the formation of a carbon–carbon bond proceeds *via* a concerted mechanism. Among these are intramolecular [2 + 2]-photocycloadditions of diallylsilanes **122** leading to silacyclopentanes **176** with an annellated cyclobutane ring.¹³⁸ Metaphotocycloadditions of benzylallylsilanes **177** allow the construction of complex molecules such as **178**. In these reactions the silicon group exerts a strong directing effect which is explained by the β -effect of silicon (Scheme 56).¹³⁹

Robertson *et al.* report on an ene-type reaction used for the cyclization of aldehydes **179**, which react in the presence of a



Lewis-acid to the silacyclohexanes **180** in good yield and with good diastereoselectivities.¹⁴⁰

Quite recently the formation of bicyclic system **181** by an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction has been reported (**Scheme 57**).¹⁴¹

5 Ring closure by C–Si bond formation

Hydrosilation of acetylenes or alkenes represents one of the most powerful methods for C-Si bond formation. The reaction may proceed via a radical mechanism or catalyzed by transition metal complexes. For the formation of monocyclic compounds by hydrosilation mainly transition metal catalyzed processes have been reported. Hexachloroplatinic acid has been used as a catalyst for the intramolecular hydrosilation of acetylene 182.¹⁴² In contrast to observations made for the hydrosilation of acyclic acetylenes,¹⁴³ the intramolecular process proceeds predominantly in a Markownikoff fashion leading to a fivemembered heterocycle 183 with an exo-methylenic double bond. The same regiochemistry had previously been observed by Tamao et al. for the intramolecular hydrosilation of acetylenic ethers 184¹⁴⁴ which results in the formation of cyclic siloxanes 185 (Scheme 58). Acetylenic silanes with an additional SiMe₂ group in the chain also undergo intramolecular hydrosilation with the same regiochemistry, leading to disilaheterocycles with an exocyclic double bond.142

A preparation of silaindenes **187** by hydrosilation of silylated tolanes **186** apparently displays different regioselectivity.¹⁴⁵ In fact, this reaction proceeds *via* a two step mechanism, where the first step is the formation of a polymer by intermolecular hydrosilation of **186** followed by a thermal decomposition at low pressure in the second step to yield substituted silaindenes **187** (Scheme **59**).



 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{R}^1 = \mathsf{R}^2 = \mathsf{H} \ (80\%); \ & \mathsf{R}^1 = \mathsf{H}, \ & \mathsf{R}^2 = \mathsf{Me} \ (80\%, \ trans: cis > 95:5); \\ & \mathsf{R}^1 = \mathsf{Me}, \ & \mathsf{R}^2 = \mathsf{H} \ (69\%, \ trans: cis > 31:1) \end{aligned}$





Intramolecular hydrosilation of acetylenes as the first step of a cascade reaction has been reported recently.¹⁴⁶ Rhodium catalyzed hydrosilation under 20 atm of CO leads to the formation of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes. Thus, from acetylenic silanes **188** five-membered silaheterocycles **189** are accessible (Scheme 60 and Table 1).

Similarly, silanes **190** are starting materials for six-membered silaheterocycles **191**. Internal acetylenes generally seem to give better yields than terminal ones (Scheme 61 and Table 2).

Alkenes are in general considered to be less reactive in transition metal catalyzed hydrosilations.¹⁴⁷ Over the past few years, however, numerous reports on the catalyzed intramolecular hydrosilation of alkenes have appeared. In most examples the hydrosilation of an allyl or homoallyl ether is described which results in the formation of cyclic siloxanes.^{1/j,148} Here we wish to

Table 1 Silacyclopentanes by silylformylation (Scheme 60)

R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	Yield	Catalyst	Mol%-Cat.
Me	Ph	H	H	56%	A	0.5
Ph	Ph	H	H	37%	B	1.0
Me	Me	Et	H	83%	B	0.5
Me	Me	Bu	Me	71%	B	0.5
Me	Me	Ph	H	79%	B	0.5

 Table 2
 Silacyclohexanes by silylformylation (Scheme 61)

A: $[Rh_4(CO)_{12}]$ B: $[Rh(1,5-COD)(\eta^6-C_6H_5BPh_3)]$

R ¹	R ²	R ³	Yield	Catalyst	Mol%-Cat.
Me	Ph	H	56%	B	1.0
Ph	Ph	H	49%	B	1.0
Me	Me	Ph	69%	A	0.5



Scheme 61

focus on those hydrosilations where a silicon–carbon heterocycle results. For example, a silanorbornane **193** results from the platinum catalyzed hydrosilation of cyclopentene **192** (Scheme 62).¹⁴⁹



In Bosnich's investigation on asymmetric rhodiumcatalyzed hydrosilations mostly silyl ethers were employed.¹⁵⁰ Only two homoallylsilanes were investigated: silicon–carbon heterocycles **195a**,**b** are formed in good yield but moderate ees from **194a**,**b** when the catalyst $[Rh\{(S,S)\text{-chiraphos}\}]^+_2(ClO_4^-)_2$ was used (**Scheme 63**).

Tamao *et al.* screened a variety of different chiral ligands for the double hydrosilation of **196**.¹⁵¹ Upon hydrosilation, three new stereogenic centers are created. Thus, the appropriate ligand system must ensure control of enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity. In **Scheme 64** the different possible diastereomers of the product **197** are shown. Best results were obtained when a rhodium catalyst was used in the presence of the (*R*,*R*)-TBDM–SILOP ligand. In this case, **197a** was formed in 96% de, 99% ee and 83% yield. Other commonly used chiral diphosphanes such as (*R*)-BINAP, (*R*,*R*)-DIOP or ferrocene derived ligands gave less satisfactory results (Scheme 64).



 $Pd(OAc)_2$ in the presence of an isonitrile has been used as a catalyst for the intramolecular silylsilylation of alkenes **198**.¹⁵² Addition of the Si–Si bond across the double bond results in the formation of silacyclopentanes **199** with an additional exocyclic silicon functionality. The reaction proceeds with *trans*-diastereoselectivities up to 99:1 for 2,3- or 2,4-disubstituted heterocycles. For the 2,5-disubstitution pattern diastereoselectivity is poor. Diastereoselectivity of the ring closure improves when the endocyclic silicon atom carries two phenyl substituents rather than two methyl groups. Scheme 65 shows one example for a highly diastereoselective silylsilylation.



As noted above, hydrosilations can also proceed *via* a radical mechanism. One silane that has gained much attention over the past ten years is tris(trimethylsilyl)silane (TTMSS) (**200**). An early report ¹⁵³ describes the use of TTMSS as a substitute for Bu₃SnH in the reduction of organic halides. However, the TTMSS-group can also be attacked by carbon centered radicals resulting in the release of a trimethylsilyl radical from the molecule. If the TTMSS group is attached to the same molecule as the radical center, this results in the formation of a heterocycle, an observation first made by Giese and co-workers.¹⁵⁴ A simple experiment (**Scheme 66**) illustrates that Si–Si bond fission readily occurs in the presence of carbon centered



radicals.¹⁵⁵ Reduction of iodide **201** with a stoichiometric amount of **200** in the presence of AIBN leads to two products: **202**, resulting from hydrogen abstraction of the intermediate radical from **200**, and **203**, resulting from intramolecular attack of the radical at the silicon atom.

If the reaction outlined in Scheme 66 is run in the presence of a catalytic rather than a stoichiometric amount of **200**, formation of a cyclized product should be favored: reaction of bromide **204** in the presence of a catalytic amount of **200** and AIBN gave the bicyclic product **205** in excellent yield.¹⁵⁴ Starting from vinyl bromide **206**, cyclization is so fast that even in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of **200** no reduction product is observed (**Scheme 67**).¹⁵⁶



The same products that result from the radical cyclizations of bromides **204** and **206** can in principle be obtained by cyclization of diene **208** and enyne **209**, however in lower yields and lower selectivities. Thus, **208** reacts with **200** in the presence of AIBN to give a mixture of three products **205**, **210** and **211** which are formed in 35, 15 and 21% yield.¹⁵⁴ Enyne **209** reacts under similar conditions to **207** (48%) along with carbocycles **212** (6%) and **213** (39%) (Scheme **68**).¹⁵⁶

The selectivity significantly improves when the hydrosilation is run at lower concentrations. For example, dienes **208**, **214** and **215** were transformed to the corresponding bicyclic systems **205**, **216** and **217** in preparatively useful yields and *cis/trans* selectivities when the concentration of substrate was 0.02 M.¹⁵⁶ For the cyclization of 1,7-diene **218** to a heterocycle with a fused six-membered ring **219** *cis/trans* selectivity was only 1.7:1 (Scheme 69 and Table 3).¹⁵⁵

If internal dienes or enynes are employed in the reaction, the cyclization is usually fully or partially suppressed.¹⁵⁵

A completely different method for intramolecular carbonsilicon bond formation is a Barbier-type reaction of a chlorosilane. Based on this transformation a sequence for the preparation of functionalized silacyclopentenes has been developed by Manuel *et al.*¹⁵⁷ For example, starting from 1,4-dichlorobut-2-ene (**220a**), trichlorosilane **221a** is accessible in a CuCl catalyzed coupling reaction with trichlorosilane. Subsequent treatment of **221a** with Mg gives 1,1-dichlorosilacyclopentene

Table 3 Radical cyclization of dienes with TTMSS (Scheme 69)

Diene No.	Х	Product No.	Yield	cis: trans
208	CH ₂	205	62%	6:1
214	O	216	53%	only <i>cis</i>
215	C(CO ₂ Me) ₂	217	71%	15:1
218	CH ₂ -CH ₂	219	52%	1.7:1

Table 4Barbier-type cyclization of chlorosilanes (Scheme 70)

220 D 221 (Viold) 222 (Viold)	
$220 \text{ K} \qquad 221 (\text{field}) \qquad 222 (\text{field})$	



222a. The functionalized derivatives **222b**,¹⁵⁷ **222c**¹⁵⁸ and **222d**¹⁵⁹ can be prepared by similar methodology. In these cases, however, the copper catalyzed coupling reaction yields structural isomers of **221b**,c,d as by-products, which do not undergo cyclization to silacyclopentenes **222b**,c,d. The starting materials **220b**,c,d can be obtained by hydrosilation of 1,4-dichlorobutyne catalyzed by hexachloroplatinic acid (**Scheme 70** and **Table 4**).

A reductive coupling of a trichlorosilane **223** has recently been reported by West *et al*. The product of its reaction with Li and TMSCl is a silafluorene **224**. A radical mechanism has been proposed for this transformation (**Scheme 71**).¹⁶⁰

6 Ring closure by silulation of α, ω -dimetallic compounds

The reaction of a dihalide with an α , ω -dimetallic compound is one of the most common methods not only for the preparation of silaheterocycles but for the preparation of heterocycles of



main group elements in general.¹⁶¹ In this chapter we will focus on more recent contributions to this field. In the first part, syntheses starting from dilithio compounds are discussed. The second part will summarize reactions where magnesium compounds are employed.

a) Dilithiocompounds as starting materials

It has been known for 30 years that diphenylacetylene reacts with lithium under the formation of a radical anion which dimerizes to give 1,4-dilithiotetraphenylbutadiene (225). Upon reaction with chlorosilanes 226 silacyclopentadienes (siloles) 227 are formed.¹⁶¹ Joo *et al.* used this transformation to obtain siloles 227a,b,c with one or two chlorine substituents at the silicon.¹⁶² Using essentially the same methodology compounds 227d–g where two siloles are bridged by a phenyl group have been prepared recently (Scheme 72).¹⁶³



Though the reductive coupling of tolane with lithium works well, the reaction does not seem to be generally applicable for other acetylenes. For example, 2,5-diphenylsilacyclopentadienes **231** have been prepared in two steps starting from 1,4dibromo-1,4-diphenylbutadiene (**228**).¹⁶⁴ Trimethoxysilanes **230** were found to be better silylating agents than the corresponding chlorosilanes in most cases.¹⁶⁴ It has also been demonstrated that pentavalent silanes derived from catechol (*e.g.* **232**) can be used as silylating agents for dilithio compound **229**. Thus, 1-hydrosiloles **233** can be obtained in a one pot procedure by treating **229** with **232** followed by reduction with LAH (**Scheme 73**).¹⁶⁵

Over the past few years efforts were made to find more general methods for the preparation of siloles from α,ω -dimetallic



compounds. The Zr-mediated coupling of acetylenes (see Section 3) looked especially promising because a zirconacyclopentadiene is formed which should be amenable to transmetallation with dihalosilanes. However, when this synthetic path was investigated first it was found that the zirconacycle 234 can be transformed to silole 235a with SiBr4 only in poor yield and under harsh conditions.¹⁶⁶ 234 was also found to be completely inert towards neat SiCl₄ at elevated temperatures. These difficulties can be circumvented when zirconacycle 234 is first cleaved with iodine to give the diiodo compound 236, followed by reaction with BuLi and SiCl₄ to yield 235b.¹⁶⁷ Takahashi et al. recently published a procedure for the zirconium mediated cyclization of two different alkynes leading to unsymmetrically substituted zirconacycles 234.168 When the iodinationmetallation sequence outlined above is applied to these zirconacycles, unsymmetrically substituted siloles 235c,d,e are accessible in excellent yields. The cleavage of the zirconacycle with iodine can be promoted by addition of a copper(I) salt (Scheme 74).169



Silaindene 235f had previously been prepared by silylation of a dilithio compound obtained in two steps from acetylene 237.¹⁷⁰ Here the two lithium atoms were introduced by deprotonation and halogen–lithium exchange of intermediate 238 (Scheme 75).



ortho-Dilithiated biphenyls are selectively prepared by halogen–lithium exchange. Subsequent reaction with a dichlorosilane is a commonly used method for the preparation of silafluorenes.^{171,172} Silafluorenes also result from the direct synthesis of halogenated biphenyls with elemental silicon.¹⁷³ Formation of a silafluorene starting from 2,2'-dilithiated binaphthyl has recently been described.¹⁷⁴ Syntheses of various silaanthracene derivatives have been developed by Bickelhaupt *et al.* in the course of their studies towards silaaromatics.^{175,176} Starting from dibromides **239** halogen–lithium exchange can either be achieved using elemental lithium or butyllithium. Cyclic products **241** result from subsequent treatment with dichlorosilanes **240** (Scheme 76).



So far mainly silacyclopentadienes and their benzoannellated derivatives were discussed in this chapter. However, silacyclopentanes and silacyclopentenes have also been prepared by silylation of dilithio-alkanes or -alkenes. A reductive coupling of a bis-silylated alkene **242** has been used for the preparation of fully saturated silaheterocycles **243**. This transformation is remarkable, as it leads to sterically crowded silacyclopentanes in comparatively good yield (Scheme **77**).¹⁷⁷

A silacyclopentene **245** fused to a cyclopentadiene has been isolated as the product of the reductive ring opening of a spiro-







heptadiene **244** followed by quenching with diphenyldichlorosilane. Compounds of this type could be of interest as prochiral ligands for transition metal complexes (**Scheme 78**).¹⁷⁸



While double halogen-metal exchange is a commonly used method for the preparation of 1,4-dilithiobutadienes (see above), difficulties occur when this method is applied for the preparation of 1,4-dilithiobutenes. For example, 1,4-dichlorobutene reacts with elemental lithium to give LiCl and butadiene, because elimination of LiCl from the intermediate monolithiated species is fast even at very low temperatures.¹⁷⁹ If, however, dichlorobutenes are treated with lithium and a dichlorosilane in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4,4'-ditert-butylbiphenyl (DTBB), a silacyclopentene 246a is formed in a Barbier-type reaction. The three isomeric dichlorobutenes shown in Scheme 79 all lead to the same product 246a when employed in the reaction.¹⁷⁹ Similarly, a bicyclic system 248 has been prepared from tetrabromide 247 by reduction with lithium in the presence of a dichlorosilane.¹⁸⁰ The success of the latter transformation strongly depends on the solvent, with best results being obtained for ether-THF mixtures. Attempts to substitute lithium by sodium or potassium gave worse results.¹⁸¹ These observations indicate that reduction of dihalobutenes by alkali metals is not a straightforward process.



b) Magnesium or zinc compounds as starting materials

While silacyclopentadienes are best prepared from 1,4dilithiobutadienes as described above, Grignard reagents are commonly used for the preparation of saturated heterocycles. This chemistry has already been reviewed¹⁸² and will therefore only be briefly mentioned here.

The stereochemistry in the formation of silacyclohexanes has been investigated by Cartledge *et al.*¹⁸³ Starting from piperidines **249**, they developed a convenient procedure for the preparation of a variety of silacyclohexanes **250** with different substitution patterns. Two points are worth mentioning: a) yields are significantly lower for 2-methyl substituted compounds and b) the *cis/trans* ratio depends on the substitution pattern at silicon and seems to be nearly independent of the other substituents (Scheme 80 and Table 5).

Although no Grignard reagents are involved, a related electrochemical process should be mentioned in this context. By electrochemical reduction of a mixture of 1,4-dibromobutane or 1,5-dibromopentane and dichlorosilanes silacyclopentanes or silacyclohexanes can be prepared in approximately 90%

Table 5
 Silacyclohexanes from piperidines (Scheme 80)



Scheme 80

yield. However, as for the reduction of dibromides with Mg, high dilution is required to obtain preparatively useful yields.¹⁸⁴

A Zr catalyzed coupling reaction of two styrenes induced by a dialkylmagnesium compound is a method to obtain 1,4dimagnesiobutanes **252**, which react with dimethyldichlorosilane to give a mixture of silacyclopentanes **253** (Scheme 81).¹⁸⁵



Zinc has been used as a reducing agent for a mixture of tetrabromide 247 and diphenyldichlorosilane. From this reaction heterocycle 254 results. The diene moiety in 254 is formed by reductive elimination of two bromides and can be used for further ring closure reactions, for example using GeI_2 to give the bicyclic compound 255 (Scheme 82).¹⁸¹



From the examples given above it becomes clear that α - ω -dihalocompounds are the starting materials of choice for the preparation of saturated silaheterocycles. This is normally not the case for silacyclopentenes as the dihalobutenes

required often undergo reductive elimination of halide to yield butadienes. This result was found, for example, by Manuel *et al.* when the dichlorobutadienes **256** were treated with activated zinc leading to metallated butadienes **257** in good yield.¹⁵⁸ These difficulties may be circumvented when butadienes are reduced with magnesium and the resulting magnesium butadiene is reacted with dichlorosilanes. Thus, dienes **257** can serve as starting materials for silacyclopentenes **246c,d** when they are treated with magnesium in the presence of dichlorodimethylsilane (**Scheme 83**).¹⁵⁸



This reaction has been used as a one-pot procedure by Manuel *et al.* who made a series of significant contributions to this field.^{186,187} It should be pointed out that diphenyl-silacyclopentadiene (**246b**) is accessible *via* this method on a large scale.¹⁸⁸ Thus, from 8 moles of butadiene, 2 moles of dichlorosilane and 2 moles of Mg, 336 g (71%) of **246b** were prepared in a one pot procedure (**Scheme 84**).





Compound 246b had previously been prepared via a two step procedure, involving the isolation of the pyrophoric magnesium butadiene which was subsequently treated with dichlorodiphenylsilane.¹⁸⁹ Though the reduction of butadiene with magnesium works well, other conjugated dienes often give oligomers with ordinary magnesium. Therefore, Rieke et al. employed highly reactive magnesium, obtained by reduction of magnesium chloride with lithium and naphthalene as an electron carrier, for the reduction of dienes other than butadiene.^{190,191} via this method, a variety of functionalized silacyclopentenes 246e-m become accessible in preparatively useful yield. It should be noted, that magnesium dienes do not always have the polymeric structure shown in Scheme 85. For the magnesium compound of 1,4-diphenylbutadiene a cyclic structure was found by crystal structure analysis, which may probably explain the high cis/trans-ratio of 15:1 which is observed for 246e.¹⁹²

7 References

- (a) For a thematic issue on silicon chemistry see: Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 1135; (b) E. Langkopf and D. Schinzer, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 1375; (c) G. R. Jones and Y. Landais, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 7599; (d) T. Hiyama and Y. Hatanaka, Pure Appl. Chem., 1994, 66, 1471; (e) P. Brownbridge, Synthesis, 1983, 1; P. Brownbridge, Synthesis, 1983, 85; (f) R. Damrauer and J. A. Hankin, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 1137; (g) M. Weidenbruch, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 1479; (h) M. Birot, J.-P. Pillot and J. Dunogués, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 1443; (i) R. Tacke and U. Wannagat, Top. Curr. Chem., 1979, 84, 1; (j) L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria and S. McN. Sieburth, Synthesis, 1997, 813; (k) B. J. Aylett and A. C. Sullivan, in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, ed. E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, vol. 2, p. 45; (l) J. Dubac, A. Laporterie and G. Manuel, Chem. Rev., 1990, 90, 215.
- 2 G. Raabe and J. Michl, Chem. Rev., 1985, 85, 419.
- 3 L. E. Gusel'nikov and N. S. Nametkin, Chem. Rev., 1979, 79, 529.
- 4 C. Krempner, H. Reinke and H. Oehme, Chem. Ber., 1995, 128,
- 143.
 5 K. Sakamoto, J. Ogasawara, H. Sakurai and M. Kira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, 119, 3405.
- 6 F. Luderer, H. Reinke and H. Oehme, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 15.
- P. Apeloig, M. Bendikov, M. Yuzefovich, M. Nakash, D. Bravo-Zhivotovskii, D. Bläser and R. Boese, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 12 228.
- 8 P. R. Jones, M. E. Lee and L. T. Lin, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 1039.
- 9 N. Auner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 353, 275.
- 10 N. Auner, W. Ziche and E. Herdtweck, J. Organomet. Chem., 1992, 426 1
- 11 N. Auner, C. Wagner and W. Ziche, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1994, 49, 831.
- 12 N. Auner, C. Seidenschwarz and N. Sewald, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 1137.
- 13 N. Auner and C.-R. Heikenwälder, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1997, 52, 500.
- 14 N. Auner, C.-R. Heikenwälder and W. Ziche, Chem. Ber., 1993, 126, 2177.
- 15 N. Auner, A. W. Weingartner and E. Herdtweck, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1993, 48, 318.
- 16 B. Goetze, B. Herrschaft and N. Auner, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 948. 17 G. Delpon-Lacaze, C. de Battisti and C. Couret, *J. Organomet.*
- Chem., 1996, **514**, 59.
- 18 N. Wiberg and M. Link, Chem. Ber., 1995, 128, 1231.
- 19 N. Wiberg, K.-S. Joo and K. Polborn, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 524, 147.
- 20 N. Wiberg, T. Passler and K. Polborn, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 531, 47.
- 21 N. Wiberg, C. M. M. Finger, T. Passler, S. Wagner and K. Polborn, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1996, 51, 1744.
- 22 J. Ohshita, Y. Masaoka, S. Masaoka, M. Ishikawa, A. Tachibana, T. Yano and T. Yamabe, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 473, 15.
- 23 J. Ohshita, S. Masaoka, Y. Masaoka, H. Hasebe, M. Ishikawa, A. Tachibana, T. Yano and T. Yamabe, *Organometallics*, 1996, 15, 3136.
- 24 A. S. Batsanov, I. M. Clarkson, J. A. K. Howard and P. G. Steel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 2491.
- 25 J. Ohshita, S. Masaoka, Y. Morimoto, M. Sano and M. Ishikawa, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 1123.
- 26 J. Grobe and H. Ziemer, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1993, 48, 1193.
- **2228** J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998

- 27 H. Richter, S. Arenz, G. Michels, J. Schneider, O. Wagner and M. Regitz, *Chem. Ber.*, 1988, **121**, 1363.
- 28 R. T. Conlin and M. Namavari, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 3689.
- 29 R. T. Conlin and M. Namavari, J. Organomet. Chem., 1989, 376, 259.
- 30 E. A. Chernyshev, N. G. Komalenkova, M. Ya. Kel'man, V. N. Bochkarev, A. N. Polivanov and V. I. Zhun, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1988, 58, 1037.
- 31 S. Bain, S. Ijadi-Maghsoodi and T. J. Barton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2611.
- 32 E. A. Chernyshev, N. G. Komalenkova, D. A. Zagorets and M. Ya. Kelman, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1987, 57, 2437.
- 33 S. M. Whittaker, M.-C. Brun, F. Cervantes-Lee and K. H. Pannell, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 499, 247.
- 34 S. G. Bott, P. Marshall, P. E. Wagenseller, Y. Wang and R. T. Conlin, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 499, 11.
- 35 G. Maier, H. Pacl and H. P. Reisenauer, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1439.
- 36 G. Maier, H. Pacl, H. P. Reisenauer, A. Meudt and R. Janoschek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 12 712.
- 37 H. Suzuki, K. Okabe, R. Kato, N. Sato, Y. Fukuda and H. Watanabe, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1991, 1298.
 38 H. Suzuki, K. Okabe, S. Uchida, H. Watanabe and M. Goto,
- 38 H. Suzuki, K. Okabe, S. Uchida, H. Watanabe and M. Goto, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 509, 177.
- 39 M. P. Clarke, I. M. T. Davidson and M. P. Dillon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 1251.
- 40 S. Zhang and R. T. Conlin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 4272.
- 41 K. L. Bobbitt and P. G. Gaspar, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 499, 17.
 42 E. A. Chernyshev, V. G. Bykovchenko, N. G. Komalenkova, T. S. Kiseleva and M. Ya. Kel'man, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1992, 62, 691.
- 43 L. E. Gusel'nikov, É. A. Volnina, A. B. Kanevskii, N. G. Komalenkova, M. Ya. Kel'man and E. A. Chernyshev, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1990, 60, 344.
- 44 M. P. Clarke and I. M. T. Davidson, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 408, 149.
- 45 M. Okamoto, S. Onodera, T. Okano, E. Suzuki and Y. Ono, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 531, 67.
- 46 L. E. Guselnikov, E. A. Volnina and A. B. Kanevskii, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1989, 59, 2146.
- 47 L. E. Guselnikov, É. A. Volnina, A. B. Kanevskii, N. G. Komalenkova, M. Ya. Kelman and E. A. Chernyshev, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1990, 60, 344.
- 48 J. Grobe and T. Schierholt, in Organosilicon Chem. II, [Muench. Silicontage], 2nd, ed. N. Auner and J. Weis, VCH, Weinheim, 1994 (Pub. 1996), 317.
- 49 A. Kunai, T. Ueda, E. Toyoda and M. Ishikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1994, 67, 287.
- 50 R. Corriu, G. Lanneau, C. Priou and F. Soulairol, N. Auner, R. Probst, R. Conlin and C. Tan, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 466, 55
- 51 F. H. Carré, R. J. P. Corriu, G. F. Lanneau, P. Merle, F. Soulairol and J. Yao, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3878.
- 52 D. Lei, R.-J. Hwang and P. G. Gaspar, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 271, 1.
- 53 E. A. Chernyshev, N. G. Komalenkova, M. Ya. Kelman, O. V. Elagina, A. N. Polivanov, T. F. Slyusarenko, V. M. Nosova, A. I. Rudenkov and M. G. Voronkov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1988, 58, 942.
- 54 J. Heinicke, B. Gehrhus and S. Meinel, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 474, 71.
- 55 G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, K. Schöttler and U. Wessolek-Kraus, J. Organomet. Chem., 1989, 366, 25.
- 56 Y.-W. Kwak, I.-H. Jeong, J.-Y. Ko and B. H. Boo, J. Organomet. Chem., 1992, 439, 107.
- 57 Y.-W. Kwak and K.-K. Lee, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 542, 219.
- 58 K. Tamao, K. Nagata, M. Asahara, A. Kawachi, Y. Ito and
- M. Shiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 11 592.
 59 J. Belzner, H. Ihmels, B. O. Kneisel, R. O. Gould and R. Herbst-Irmer, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 305.
- 60 E. Kroke, M. Weidenbruch, W. Saak, S. Pohl and H. Marsmann, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 5695.
- 61 E. Kroke, S. Willms, M. Weidenbruch, W. Saak, S. Pohl and H. Marsmann, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3675.
- 62 N. Tokitoh, H. Suzuki and R. Okazaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 10 428.
- 63 H. Suzuki, N. Tokitoh and R. Okazaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1995, 68, 2471.
- 64 R. Okazaki, Pure Appl. Chem., 1996, 68, 895.
- 65 N. Takeda, H. Suzuki, N. Tokitoh and R. Okazaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 1456.

- 66 B. P. S. Chauhan, R. J. P. Corriu, G. F. Lanneau, C. Priou, N. Auner, H. Handwerker and E. Herdtweck, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1657.
- 67 K. Tamao, M. Asahara and A. Kawachi, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 521, 325.
- 68 K. Tamao, K. Kobayashi and Y. Ito, Synlett, 1992, 539.
- 69 K. Tamao, S. Yamaguchi, M. Shiozaki, S. Nakagawa and Y. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 5867.
- 70 Y. Tanaka, H. Yamashita and M. Tanaka, Organometallics, 1995, 14. 530.
- 71 K. Ikenaga, K. Hiramatsu, N. Nasaka and S. Matsumoto, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 5045.
- 72 I. Ojima, D. A. Fracchiolla, R. J. Donovan and P. Banerji, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 7594.
- 73 A. Kunai, Y. Yuzuriha, A. Naka and M. Ishikawa, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 455, 77.
- 74 M. Ishikawa, Y. Nomura, E. Tozaki, A. Kunai and J. Ohshita, J. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 399, 205.
- 75 D. Seyferth, S. C. Vick and M. L. Shannon, Organometallics, 1984, 3. 1897.
- 76 A. Kunai, Y. Matsuo, J. Ohshita, M. Ishikawa, Y. Aso, T. Otsubo and F. Ogura, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1204.
- 77 D. Seyferth, M. L. Shannon, S. C. Vick and T. F. O. Lim, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 57.
- 78 J. Ohshita and M. Ishikawa, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 407, 157.
- 79 J. Belzner and H. Ihmels, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 6541.
- 80 W. S. Palmer and K. A. Woerpel, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4824.
- 81 W. S. Palmer and K. A. Woerpel, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 1097.
- 82 H. Saso and W. Ando, Chem. Lett., 1988, 1567.
- 83 H. Saso, W. Ando and K. Ueno, Tetrahedron, 1989, 45, 1929.
- 84 W. Kirmse and W. Konrad, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 661.
- 85 W. Kirmse, W. Konrad and D. Schnitzler, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 3821
- 86 H. M. Frey, R. Walsh and I. M. Watts, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 284.
- 87 T. J. Barton, J. Lin, S. Ijadi-Maghsoodi, M. D. Power, Y. Zhang, Z. Ma, H. Shimizu and M. S. Gordon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117 11 695
- 88 A. Oku, T. Miki and Y. Ose, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1996, 9, 619.
- 89 H. Sakurai and T. Imai, Chem. Lett., 1975, 891.
- 90 Y. Takeyama, K. Nozaki, K. Matsumoto, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1991, 64, 1461.
- 91 K. Matsumoto, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990. 31, 6055.
- 92 K. Matsumoto, Y. Aoki, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto and N. A. Raman, Tetrahedron, 1993, 49, 8487.
- 93 K. Matsumoto, K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 7031.
- 94 K. Matsumoto, K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1995, 68, 625.
- 95 Y. Takeyama, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, **31**, 6059.
- 96 K. Matsumoto, K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 6383.
- 97 K. Matsumoto, Y. Takeyama, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 4545.
- 98 K. Matsumoto, Y. Takeyama, K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1995, 68, 250.
- 99 W. A. Nugent and D. F. Taber, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 6435. 100 M. Mirza-Aghayan, R. Boukherroub, G. Etemad-Moghadam,
- G. Manuel and M. Koenig, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 3109 101 T. Takahashi, K. Aoyagi, R. Hara and N. Suzuki, Chem. Lett.,
- 1992, 1693. 102 Y. Nishihara, K. Aoyagi, R. Hara, N. Suzuki and T. Takahashi,
- Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1996, 252, 91.
- 103 Z. Teng, C. Boss and R. Keese, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 12 979.
- 104 J. M. Davis, R. J. Whitby and A. Joxa-Chamiec, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 5655.
- 105 R. Wu, J. S. Schumm, D. L. Pearson and J. M. Tour, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 6906.
- 106 B. K. Cho, G. M. Choi, J.-I. Jin, B. R. Yoo and I. N. Jung, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3576.
- 107 O. Hoshino, A. Hirokawa, T. Taguchi and K. Miyauchi, Heterocycles, 1994, 38, 883.
- 108 S. McN. Sieburth and C.-A. Chen, Synlett, 1995, 928.
- 109 K. Tamao and S. Yamaguchi, Pure Appl. Chem., 1996, 68, 139.
- 110 K. Tamao, S. Yamaguchi and M. Shiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116. 11 715.
- 111 K. Tamao, M. Uchida, T. Izumizawa, K. Furukawa and S. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11 974.
- 112 S. Yamaguchi, R.-Z. Jin, K. Tamao and M. Shiro, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2230.

- 113 I. de Riggi, J.-M. Surzur and M. P. Bertrand, Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 7119.
- 114 J. R. Hwu, B.-L. Chen and S.-S. Shiao, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 2448
- 115 B. Wrackmeyer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 310, 151.
- 116 B. Wrackmeyer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 397. 117 B. Wrackmeyer, G. Kehr and J. Süß, Chem. Ber., 1993, 126, 2221.
- 118 R. Köster, G. Seidel, J. Süß and B. Wrackmeyer, Chem. Ber., 1993, 126, 1107.
- 119 R. Köster, G. Seidel, I. Klopp, C. Krüger, G. Kehr, J. Süß and B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1993, **126**, 1385. 120 B. Wrackmeyer, H. E. Maisel, J. Süß and W. Milius,
- Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1996, 51, 1320.
- 121 D. Damour, A. Renaudon and S. Mignani, Synlett, 1995, 111.
- 122 E. Negishi, M. D. Jensen, D. Y. Kondakov and S. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 8404.
- 123 K. H. Shaughnessy and R. M. Waymouth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, **117**, 5873.
- 124 D. Y. Kondakov and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 1577.
- 125 D. Y. Kondakov and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 10 771.
- 126 S. Chang and R. H. Grubbs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 4757; C. Meyer and J. Cossy, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 7861; J. H. Cassidy, S. P. Marsden and G. Stemp, Synlett, 1997, 1411.
- 127 E. Sh. Finkel'shtein, E. B. Portnykh, N. V. Ushakov and V. M. Vdovin, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1981, 483.
- 128 N. V. Ushakov, E. B. Portnykh, N. A. Pritula and E. Sh. Finkel'shtein, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1990, 2561.
- 129 N. B. Bespalova and M. A. Bovina, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1990, 156.
- 130 M. Leconte, S. Pagano, A. Mutch, F. Lefebvre and J.-M. Basset, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1995, 132, 1069.
- 131 J. T. Anhaus, W. Clegg, S. P. Collingwood and V. C. Gibson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 1720.
- 132 N. S. Prostakov, A. V. Varlamov and V. P. Zvolinskii, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 1972, 8, 1431.
- 133 N. S. Prostakov, N. Saxen, A. V. Varlamov and A. M. Klochkov, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 1981, 17, 176.
- 134 V. M. Polosin, A. A. Astakhov, V. A. Tafeenko and A. V. Ivashchenko, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1990, 60, 1410.
- 135 E. P. Belanova, V. M. Polosin, A. A. Astakhov, H. V. Ivashchenko, M. A. Ryashentsera, S. Shashkov and K. M. Minachev, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 1989, 25, 352.
- 136 M. Iyoda, M. Miura, S. Sasaki, S. M. H. Kabir, Y. Kuwatani and M. Yoshida, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 4581.
- 137 J. A. Soderquist, G. León, J. C. Colberg and I. Martinez, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 3119.
- 138 K. Nakanishi, K. Mizuno and Y. Otsuji, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 90.
- 139 D. C. Blakemore and A. Gilbert, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2307.
- 140 J. Robertson, G. O'Connor and D. S. Middleton, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 3411.
- 141 K. Kahle, P. J. Murphy, J. Scott and R. Tamagni, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 997.
- 142 M. G. Steinmetz and B. S. Udayakumar, J. Organomet. Chem. 1989. 378. 1.
- 143 D. A. Armitage, in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, ed. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, vol. 2, p. 1.
- 144 K. Tamao, K. Maeda, T. Tanaka and Y. Ito, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 6955.
- 145 G. Märkl and K.-P. Berr, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 1601.
- 146 F. Monteil, I. Matsuda and H. Alper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 4419
- 147 E. W. Colvin, in Silicon Reagents in Organic Synthesis, Academic Press, London, 1988, p. 9.
- 148 K. Tamao, T. Yamauchi and Y. Ito, Chem. Lett., 1987, 171.
- 149 H.-U. Steinberg, N. Auner, in Organosilicon Chem. II, [Muench. Silicontage], 2nd, ed. N. Auner and J. Weis, VCH, Weinheim, 1994 (Pub. 1996), 49.
- 150 S. H. Bergens, P. Noheda, J. Whelan and B. Bosnich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 2121.
- 151 K. Tamao, K. Nakamura, H. Ishii, S. Yamaguchi and M. Shiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 12 469.
- 152 M. Murakami, M. Suginome, K. Fujimoto, H. Nakamura, P. G. Andersson and Y. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 6487.
- 153 C. Chatgilialoglu, D. Griller and M. Lesage, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53. 3642.
- 154 K. J. Kulicke, C. Chatgilialoglu, B. Kopping and B. Giese, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1992, 75, 935.

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998 2229

- 155 K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1993, 66, 2348.
- 156 K. Miura, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Chem. Lett., 1992, 2477.
- 157 R. Damrauer, R. Simon, A. Laporterie, G. Manuel, Y. T. Park and W. P. Weber, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1990, **391**, 7.
- 158 R. Boukherroub and G. Manuel, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 460, 155.
- 159 R. Boukherroub, G. Manuel and W. P. Weber, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 444, 37.
- 160 A. J. Millevolte, Y. van den Winkel, D. R. Powell and R. West, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 5375.
- 161 Ch. Elschenbroich and A. Salzer, Organometallchemie, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1988, p. 136.
- 162 W.-C. Joo, J.-H. Hong, S.-B. Choi, H.-E. Son and C. H. Kim, J. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 391, 27.
- 163 Y. K. Kong and Y. M. Ahn, J. Korean Chem. Soc., 1997, 41, 82; Chem. Abstr., 126, 251 184c.
- 164 F. Carré, E. Colomer, J. Y. Corey, R. J. P. Corriu, C. Guérin, B. J. L. Henner, B. Kolani and W. W. C. Wong Chi Man, *Organometallics*, 1986, 5, 910.
- 165 A. Boudin, G. Cerveau, C. Chuit, R. J. P. Corriu and C. Reye, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1988, 61, 101.
- 166 P. J. Fagan, W. A. Nugent and J. C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 1880.
- 167 U. Bankwitz, H. Sohn, D. R. Powell and R. West, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 499, C7.
- 168 Z. Xi, R. Hara and T. Takahashi, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 4444.
- 169 C. Xi, S. Huo, T. H. Afifi, R. Hara and T. Takahashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 4099.
- 170 J. Kurita, M. Ishii, S. Yasuike and T. Tsuchiya, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun, 1993, 1309.
- 171 M. Ishikawa, T. Tabohashi, H. Sugisawa, K. Nishimura and M. Kumada, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, **250**, 109.
- 172 T. Kimura, Y. Izumi, N. Furukawa and Y. Minoshima, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 1996, **7**, 143.

- 173 E. A. Chernyshev, N. G. Komalenkova and I. A. Shashkov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1991, 688.
- 174 F. Fabris and O. deLucchi, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 509, 15.
- 175 Y. van den Winkel, O. S. Akkerman and F. Bickelhaupt, *Main Group Met. Chem.*, 1988, **11**, 91.
- 176 Y. van den Winkel, B. L. M. van Baar, H. M. M. Bastiaans, F. Bickelhaupt, M. Schenkel and H. G. Stegmann, *Tetrahedron*, 1990, 46, 1009.
- 177 M. Kira, T. Hino, Y. Kubota, N. Matsuyama and H. Sakurai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, **29**, 6939.
- 178 T. Kawase, H. Kurata, S. Fujino, T. Ekinaka and M. Oda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 7201.
- 179 A. Guijarro and M. Yus, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 7857.
- 180 P. Mazerolles and C. Laurent, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 406, 119.
- 181 P. Mazerolles and C. Laurent, J. Organomet. Chem., 1992, 439, 115.
 182 T. L. Partera in C. and A. Ling, C. and M. S. Chamber, Chem. 1992, 439, 115.
- 182 T. J. Barton, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, ed. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, vol. 2, p. 205.
- 183 B. T. Nguyen and F. K. Cartledge, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 2206.
- 184 V. Jouikov and V. Krasnov, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 498, 213.
- 185 U. M. Dzhemilev, R. M. Sultanov, R. G. Gaimaldinov, R. R. Muslukhov, S. I. Lomakina and G. A. Tolstikov, *Bull. Acad. Sci.* USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1992, 770.
- 186 G. Manuel, P. Mazerrolles, M. Lesbre and J.-P. Pradel, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, 61, 147.
- 187 G. Manuel, W. P. Weber and R. Boukherroub, *Main Group Met. Chem.*, 1996, **19**, 263.
- 188 S. Mignani, D. Damour, J.-P. Bastart and G. Manuel, *Synth. Commun.*, 1995, **25**, 3855.
- 189 W. J. Richter, *Synthesis*, 1982, 1102.
- 190 R. D. Rieke and H. Xiong, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 3109.
- 191 R. D. Rieke and H. Xiong, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 6560.
- 192 G. E. Herberich, T. Wagner and H.-W. Marx, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 502, 67.

[©] Copyright 1998 by the Royal Society of Chemistry